

PATENT APPLICATION FEE DETERMINATION RECORD
Effective October 1, 2001

Application or Docket Number

10053528

CLAIMS AS FILED - PART I

	(Column 1)	(Column 2)
TOTAL CLAIMS		
FOR	NUMBER FILED	NUMBER EXTRA
TOTAL CHARGEABLE CLAIMS	19 minus 20 = *	
INDEPENDENT CLAIMS	5 minus 3 = *	2
MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM PRESENT <input type="checkbox"/>		

* If the difference in column 1 is less than zero, enter "0" in column 2

CLAIMS AS AMENDED - PART II

	(Column 1)	(Column 2)	(Column 3)
AMENDMENT A	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA
	Total	* 19 Minus ** 20 =	
	Independent	* 5 Minus *** 3 =	
FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM <input type="checkbox"/>			

	(Column 1)	(Column 2)	(Column 3)
AMENDMENT B	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA
	Total	* Minus **	=
	Independent	* Minus ***	=
FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM <input type="checkbox"/>			

	(Column 1)	(Column 2)	(Column 3)
AMENDMENT C	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA
	Total	* Minus **	=
	Independent	* Minus ***	=
FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM <input type="checkbox"/>			

* If the entry in column 1 is less than the entry in column 2, write "0" in column 3.

** If the "Highest Number Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 20, enter "20."

*** If the "Highest Number Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 3, enter "3."

The "Highest Number Previously Paid For" (Total or Independent) is the highest number found in the appropriate box in column 1.

SMALL ENTITY TYPE ☐

OR OTHER THAN SMALL ENTITY

RATE	FEE
BASIC FEE	370.00
X\$ 9=	
X42=	
+140=	
TOTAL	

RATE	FEE
BASIC FEE	740.00
X\$18=	
X84=	168
+280=	280
TOTAL	718

SMALL ENTITY TYPE ☐

OR OTHER THAN SMALL ENTITY

RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE
X\$ 9=	
X42=	
+140=	
TOTAL ADDIT. FEE	

RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE
X\$18=	
X84=	
+280=	
TOTAL ADDIT. FEE	

RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE
X\$ 9=	
X42=	
+140=	
TOTAL ADDIT. FEE	

RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE
X\$18=	
X84=	
+280=	
TOTAL ADDIT. FEE	

RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE
X\$ 9=	
X42=	
+140=	
TOTAL ADDIT. FEE	

RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE
X\$18=	
X84=	
+280=	
TOTAL ADDIT. FEE	

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

Katsumichi UEYANAGI et al.

Serial No.: 10/053,528

Group Art Unit: 2855

Filed: January 18, 2002

Examiner: Oen, William L.

For: SEMICONDUCTOR PHYSICAL QUANTITY SENSOR

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
SEP 02 2004

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify this paper is being transmitted to
the United States Patent & Trademark Office via
facsimile transmission to Group Art Unit 2855

703-872-9306

Date

Marc A. Rossi

AMENDMENT WITH PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Office Action issued on March 9, 2004, applicants respectfully request the following amendment and remarks be entered. The period for response having expired on June 9, 2004, applicants hereby petition for a three month extension of time. The Commissioner is authorized to charge Deposit Account 18-2056 the petition fee of \$970.00 for the three month extension of time along with any additional fees that may be required to maintain the pendency of this application.

09/13/2004 TOK0N1 00000002 182056 10053528

01 FC:1253 970.00 DA

1

PAGE 2/5 * RCVD AT 9/2/2004 9:38:08 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFAXF-1/0 * DNIS:8729306 * CSID: * DURATION (mm:ss):01:22

the trimming pads is fixed after the programming of the EPROM instead of allowing these pads to remain free floating. Claim 1, for example, specifically claims digital input/output pads that have undergone digital trimming in order to obtain a predetermined output. Accordingly, by connecting the trimming pads to either the external power supply or external ground, the sensitivity of the device to extraneous electrical noise is reduced. None of the references of record is directed to the problem solved by the present invention nor do the references, taken alone or singly, suggest the solution to the problem disclosed by the applicant. Thus, the combination of references, even if proper, cannot yield the claimed invention.

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection of the claims. In the event that the examiner maintains the rejection, applicants submit that the examiner should provide a detailed explanation of which elements of the references of record correspond the elements being claims, and where in the references is the motivation to combine the teachings of the references as proposed by the examiner. Absent such a showing, the rejection should be withdrawn.

Respectfully submitted,

09/02/09
Date

Attorney Docket: FUJI:204
Rossi & Associates
P.O. Box 826
Ashburn, VA 20146-0826
703-726-6020


Marc A. Rossi
Registration No. 31,923